arXiv:0901.3396v1 [math.AT] 22 Jan 2009

Comparison of Morava E-theories

Takeshi Torii

Abstract

In this note we show that the nth Morava E-cohomology group of a finite spectrum with action of the nth Morava stabilizer group can be recovered from the $(n+1)$st Morava E-cohomology group with action of the $(n+1)$st Morava stabilizer group.

1 Introduction

This note is a continuation of [16]. Let p be a fixed prime number. In the stable homotopy category $\mathcal{S}_{(p)}$ of p-local spectra, there is a filtration of full subcategories $\mathcal{S}_n$, where the objects of $\mathcal{S}_n$ consist of $E(n)$-local spectra. The differences of each step of this filtration are equivalent to the $K(n)$-local categories. So it can be considered that the stable homotopy category $\mathcal{S}_{(p)}$ is built up from $K(n)$-local categories for various n. In fact, the chromatic convergence theorem (cf. [15]) says that the tower $\cdots \to L_{n+1}X \to L_nX \to \cdots \to L_0X$ recovers a p-local finite spectrum X, that is, X is homotopy equivalent to the homotopy inverse limit of the tower. Furthermore, the chromatic splitting conjecture (cf. [4]) implies that the p-completion of a finite spectrum X is a direct summand of the product $\prod_n L_{K(n)}X$. So it is important to know the relationship among $K(n)$-local categories for various n.

We recall the classical Chern character map. The Chern character map is a multiplicative natural transformation from K-theory to rational cohomology:

$$ch : K^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(X;\mathbb{Q}[w^{\pm 1}]) \cong \prod_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} H^{*+2i}(X;\mathbb{Q}).$$

The formal group law associated with K-theory is the multiplicative one, and the formal group law associated with the rational cohomology is the additive one. So the Chern character map is a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories from a height 1 theory to a height 0 theory. Ando, Morava and Sadofsky [1] have constructed a generalization of Chern character map from the chromatic point of view. In this note we construct a refinement of their generalization of Chern character map. More precisely, we construct a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories

$$\Theta : E_{n+1}^*(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^*(X).$$

The cohomology theory $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ is a coefficient extension of $E_n^*(X)$ so that

$$\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} E_n^*(X).$$

Date: October 14, 2008

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N22; Secondary 55N20, 55S05.

Key words and phrases. Morava E-theory, formal group, Morava stabilizer group, Chern character.

The natural transformation Θ can be regarded as a generalization of Chern character map since it is a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories from a height $(n+1)$ theory to a height n theory. There are natural actions of the Morava stabilizer groups G_n and G_{n+1} on $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$, which coincide on the Galois group. Then the invariant submodule $H^0(S_{n+1};\mathbb{B}^*(X))$ supports a natural structure of E_n^*-module with compatible continuous G_n-action. The following is the main theorem of this note.

Theorem A (Theorem 6.1)**.** *For every spectrum X, there is a natural isomorphism of E_n^*-modules with compatible continuous G_n-action*

$$E_n^*(X) \cong H^0(S_{n+1};\mathbb{B}^*(X)).$$

For a finite spectrum X, $E_n^*(X)$ with G_n-action can be recovered from $E_{n+1}^*(X)$ with G_{n+1}-action.

The organization of this note is as follows: In §2 we review the Lubin-Tate's deformation theory of formal group laws and the results of [16]. In §3 we review the relationship between the stable natural transformations of even-periodic complex oriented cohomology theories and the homomorphisms of their formal group laws. In §4 we construct a cohomology theory $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$ and the generalized Chern character map Θ. In § 5 we show that the continuous S_n-cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(L_{K(n)}E_{n+1})^*(X)$. In §6 we prove the main theorem (Theorem A) and some generalization.

2 Formal group laws

In this section we review the deformation theory of formal group laws. In the following of this note a formal group law means a one-dimensional commutative formal group law.

Let R_1 and R_2 be two (topological) commutative rings. Let F_1 (resp. F_2) be a formal group law over R_1 (resp. R_2). We understand that a homomorphism from (F_1,R_1) to (F_2,R_2) is a pair (f,α) of a (continuous) ring homomorphism $\alpha : R_2 \to R_1$ and a homomorphism $f : F_1 \to \alpha^*F_2$ in the usual sense, where α^*F_2 is the formal group law obtained from F_2 by the base change induced by α. We denote the set of all such pairs by

$$\mathbf{FGL}((F_1,R_1),(F_2,R_2)).$$

If R_1 and R_2 are topological rings, then we denote the subset of $\mathbf{FGL}((F_1,R_1),(F_2,R_2))$ consisting of (f,α) such that α is continuous by

$$\mathbf{FGL}^c((F_1,R_1),(F_2,R_2)).$$

The composition of two homomorphisms $(f,\alpha) : (F_1,R_1) \to (F_2,R_2)$ and $(\beta,g) : (F_2,R_2) \to (F_3,R_3)$ is defined as $(\alpha^*g\circ f,\alpha\circ\beta) : (F_1,R_1) \to (F_3,R_3)$:

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{f} \alpha^*F_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha^*g} \alpha^*(\beta^*F_3) = (\alpha\circ\beta)^*F_3.$$

A homomorphism $(f,\alpha) : (F_1,R_1) \to (F_2,R_2)$ is an isomorphism if there exists a homomorphism $(g,\beta) : (F_2,R_2) \to (F_1,R_1)$ such that $(f,\alpha)\circ(g,\beta) = (X,id)$ and $(g,\beta)\circ(f,\alpha) = (X,id)$. Then a homomorphism $(f,\alpha) : (F_1,R_1) \to (F_2,R_2)$ is an isomorphism if and only if α is a (topological) ring isomorphism and f is an isomorphism in the usual sense.

There is a p-typical formal group law H_n over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p$ with p-series

$$[p]^{H_n}(X) = X^{p^n},$$

which is called the height n Honda formal group law. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an algebraic extension of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ with p^n elements, and we suppose that H_n is defined over $\mathbb{F}$. The automorphism group S_n of H_n over $\mathbb{F}$ in the usual sense is the nth Morava stabilizer group S_n, which is isomorphic to the unit group of the maximal order of the central division algebra over the p-adic number field $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with invariant $1/n$. We denote by $G_n(\mathbb{F})$ the automorphism group of H_n over $\mathbb{F}$ in the above sense:

$$G_n(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{Aut}(H_n, \mathbb{F}).$$

The automorphism group $G_n(\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product: $G_n(\mathbb{F}) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{F}) \ltimes S_n$, where $\Gamma(\mathbb{F})$ is the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p)$.

We recall Lubin and Tate's deformation theory of formal group laws [10]. Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal I such that the residue field $k = R/I$ is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let G be a formal group law over k of height $n < \infty$. Let A be a complete Noetherian local R-algebra with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We denote by ι the canonical inclusion of residue fields $k \subset A/\mathfrak{m}$ induced by the R-algebra structure. A deformation of G to A is a formal group law $\widetilde{G}$ over A such that $\iota^* G = \pi^* \widetilde{G}$ where $\pi : A \to A/\mathfrak{m}$ is the canonical projection. Let $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2$ be two deformations of G to A. We define a $*$-isomorphism between $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2$ as an isomorphism $\widetilde{u} : \widetilde{G}_1 \to \widetilde{G}_2$ over A such that $\pi^*\widetilde{u}$ is the identity map between $\pi^*\widetilde{G}_1 = \iota^* G = \pi^*\widetilde{G}_2$. Then it is known that there is at most one $*$-isomorphism between $\widetilde{G}_1$ and $\widetilde{G}_2$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(R)$ the category of complete Noetherian local R-algebras with local R-algebra homomorphisms as morphisms. For an object A of $\mathcal{C}(R)$, we let $\mathbf{DEF}(A)$ be the set of all $*$-isomorphism classes of the deformations of G to A. Then $\mathbf{DEF}$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{C}(R)$ to the category of sets. Let $R[\![t_i]\!] = R[\![t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}]\!]$ be a formal power series ring over R with $n-1$ indeterminates. Note that $R[\![t_i]\!]$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}(R)$. Lubin and Tate constructed a formal group law $F(t_i) = F(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})$ over $R[\![t_i]\!]$ such that for every deformation $\widetilde{G}$ of G to A, there is a unique local R-algebra homomorphism $\alpha : R[\![t_i]\!] \to A$ such that $\alpha^* F(t_i)$ is $*$-isomorphic to $\widetilde{G}$. Hence the functor $\mathbf{DEF}$ is represented by $R[\![t_i]\!]$:

$$\mathbf{DEF}(A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(R)}(R[\![t_i]\!], A)$$

and $F(t_i)$ is a universal object.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F and G be formal group laws of height $n < \infty$ over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and $(\overline{f}, \overline{\alpha})$ an isomorphism from (F, k) to (G, k). Let R be a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k and α a ring automorphism of R such that α induces $\overline{\alpha}$ on the residue field. Let $\widetilde{F}$ (resp. $\widetilde{G}$) be a universal deformation of F (resp. G) over $R[\![u_i]\!] = R[\![u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}]\!]$ (resp. $R[\![w_i]\!] = R[\![w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}]\!]$). Then there is a unique isomorphism (g, β) from $(\widetilde{F}, R[\![u_i]\!])$ to $(\widetilde{G}, R[\![w_i]\!])$ such that (g, β) induces $(\overline{f}, \overline{\alpha})$ on the residue field and $i \circ \alpha = \beta \circ j$, where $i : R \to R[\![u_i]\!]$ and $j : R \to R[\![w_i]\!]$ are canonical inclusions.*

Proof. First, we show that there is such a homomorphism. Let $f(X) \in R[X]$ be a lift of $\overline{f}(X) \in k[X]$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Set $F'(X, Y) = f(\widetilde{F}(f^{-1}(X), f^{-1}(Y)))$. Then $(F', R[\![u_i]\!])$ is a deformation of $\overline{\alpha}^* G$. We denote by $R'[\![u_i]\!]$ the ring $R[\![u_i]\!]$ with the R-algebra structure given by $R \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \xrightarrow{i} R[\![u_i]\!]$. Then $(F', R'[\![u_i]\!])$ is a deformation of G. Since $\widetilde{G}$ is a universal deformation of

G, there exists a continuous R-algebra homomorphism $\beta : R[\![w_i]\!] \to R'[\![u_i]\!]$ and a $*$-isomorphism $\widetilde{u} : F' \to \beta^*\widetilde{G}$. Then $(g, \beta) = (\widetilde{u} \circ f, \beta) : (\widetilde{F}, R'[\![u]\!]_i) \to (\widetilde{G}, R[\![w_i]\!])$ is a lift of $(\overline{f}, \overline{\alpha}) : (F, k) \to (G, k)$.

By the same way, we can construct a lift (h, γ) of $(\overline{f}, \overline{\alpha})^{-1}$. Then $(h, \gamma) \circ (g, \beta)$ is a lift of $(X, id) : (F, k) \to (F, k)$. Note that $\beta \circ \gamma : R[\![u_i]\!] \to R[\![u_i]\!]$ is a continuous R-algebra homomorphism. Since $(\widetilde{F}, R[\![u_i]\!])$ is a universal deformation, $(h, \gamma) \circ (g, \beta) = (X, id)$ by the uniqueness. Similarly, we obtain that $(g, \beta) \circ (h, \gamma) = (X, id)$. Hence we see that (g, β) is an isomorphism and a unique lift of $(\overline{f}, \overline{\alpha}) : (F, k) \to (G, k)$. □

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$ which contains $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}$. Let $W = W(\mathbf{F})$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}$. We define E_n^0 to be the ring of formal power series over W with $(n-1)$ indeterminates:

$$E_n^0 = W[\![w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}]\!].$$

The ring E_n^0 is a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field $\mathbf{F}$. There is a p-typical formal group law $\widetilde{F}_n$ over E_n^0 with the p-series:

$$[p]^{\widetilde{F}_n}(X) = pX +_{\widetilde{F}_n} w_1 X^p +_{\widetilde{F}_n} w_2 X^{p^2} +_{\widetilde{F}_n} \cdots +_{\widetilde{F}_n} w_{n-1} X^{p^{n-1}} +_{\widetilde{F}_n} X^{p^n}. \tag{2.1}$$

The formal group law $\widetilde{F}_n$ is a deformation of H_n to E_n^0. Furthermore, $(\widetilde{F}_n, E_n^0)$ is a universal deformation of $(H_n, \mathbf{F})$.

Lemma 2.2. *The automorphism group* $\mathbf{Aut}^c(\widetilde{F}_n, E_n^0)$ *is isomorphic to* $G_n(\mathbf{F})$.

We define E_{n+1}^0 to be a formal power series ring over W with n indeterminates:

$$E_{n+1}^0 = W[\![u_1, \ldots, u_n]\!],$$

and there is a universal deformation $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, E_{n+1}^0)$ of the height $(n+1)$ Honda group law $(H_{n+1}, \mathbf{F})$. Let $R = E_{n+1}^0/I_n = \mathbf{F}[\![u_n]\!]$, where $I_n = (p, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$. Let $F_{n+1} = \pi^*\widetilde{F}_{n+1}$, where π is the quotient map $E_{n+1}^0 \to R$. Then F_{n+1} is a deformation of H_{n+1} to R. The following lemma is easy.

Lemma 2.3. *The automorphism group* $\mathbf{Aut}^c(F_{n+1}, R)$ *is isomorphic to* $G_{n+1}(\mathbf{F})$.

If we suppose that F_{n+1} is defined over the quotient field $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$ of R, then its height is n. Since the formal group laws over a separably closed field is classified by their height, there is an isomorphism Φ between F_{n+1} and H_n over the separable closure $\mathbf{F}((u_n))^{sep}$ of $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$ (cf. [9, 3]). We fix such an isomorphism Φ. Since $\Phi : F_{n+1} \to H_n$ is a homomorphism between p-typical formal group laws, Φ has a following form:

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_{i \geq 0}{}^{H_n} \Phi_i X^{p^i}.$$

Let L be the extension field of $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$ obtained by adoining all the coefficients of the isomorphism Φ. So (Φ, id_L) is an isomorphism from (F_{n+1}, L) to (H_n, L):

$$(\Phi, id_L) : (F_{n+1}, L) \xrightarrow{\cong} (H_n, L).$$

Note that L is a totally ramified Gaois extension of infinite degree over $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$ with Galois group isomorphic to S_n ([2, 16]).

In the following of this note we abbreviate $G_n = G_n(\mathbf{F})$, $G_{n+1} = G_{n+1}(\mathbf{F})$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma(\mathbf{F})$. There are quotient maps $G_n \to \Gamma$ and $G_{n+1} \to \Gamma$. We define $\mathbb{G}$ to be the fibre product of G_n and G_{n+1} over Γ: $\mathbb{G} = G_n \times_\Gamma G_{n+1}$. Note that there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{G} \cong \Gamma \ltimes (S_n \times S_{n+1})$. Then $G_{n+1} \cong \Gamma \ltimes S_{n+1}$ and $G_n = \Gamma \ltimes S_n$ are subgroups of $\mathbb{G}$. In [16] we have shown the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 (cf. [16, §2.4])**.** *The pro-finite group $\mathbb{G}$ acts on $(F_{n+1}, L) \cong (H_n, L)$. The action of the subgroup G_{n+1} on (F_{n+1}, L) is an extension of the action on (F_{n+1}, R), and the action of the subgroup G_n on (H_n, L) is an extension of the action on $(H_n, \mathbf{F})$.*

3 Natural transformations of cohomology theories

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the stable homotopy category. For a spectrum $h \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote by $h^*(-)$ the associated generalized cohomology theory. For spectra h and k, we denote by $\mathbf{C}(h,k)$ the set of all degree 0 natural transformation of cohomology theories from h to k. Then $\mathbf{C}(h,k)$ is naturally identified with the set of all degree 0 morphisms from h to k in $\mathcal{S}$.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a commutative ring. A topological R-module M is said to be *linearly topologized* if M has a fundamental neighbourhood system at the zero consisting of the open submodules. A linearly topologized R-module M is said to be *linearly compact* if it is Hausdorff and it has the finite intersection property with respect to the closed cosets A topological ring R is linearly compact if R is linearly compact as an R-module. (cf. [6, Definition 2.3.13]).

Example 3.2. A linearly topologized compact Housdorff (e.g. profinite) module is linearly compact. If R is a complete Noetherian local ring, then a finitely generated R-module is linearly compact. In particular, a finite dimensional vector space over a field is linearly compact.

Lemma 3.3 (cf. [6, Corollary 2.3.15])**.** *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a filtered category. The inverse limit functor indexed by $\mathcal{I}$ is exact in the category of linearly compact modules and continuous homomorphisms.*

For a graded commutative ring h^*, we say that h^* is even-periodic if there is a unit $u \in h^{-2}$ of degree (-2) and $h^{\mathrm{odd}} = 0$. Note that $h^* = h^0[u^{\pm 1}]$ if h^* is even-periodic. We say that a commutative ring spectrum h is even-periodic if the coefficient ring $h^* = h^*(S^0)$ is even-periodic. For a spectrum $X \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\Lambda(X)$ be the full subcategory of the comma category $(\mathcal{S} \downarrow X)$, whose objects are maps $X_\lambda \to X$ with X_λ finite. Then $\Lambda(X)$ is an essentially small filtered category (see [6, Definition 2.3.7]).

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that h is an even-periodic commutative ring spectrum with h^0 Noetherian and linearly compact. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$h^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim \ h^*(X_\lambda)$$

for any spectrum X, where the inverse limit on the right hand side is taken over $\Lambda(X)$.

Proof. Since $h^*(X_\lambda)$ is a finitely generated module over h^* for a finite spectrum X_λ, it is a linearly compact module. Then $\lim h^*(X_\lambda)$ is a cohomology theory by Lemma 3.3 (cf. [6, Proposition 2.3.16]). The natural transformation $h^*(X) \to \lim h^*(X_\lambda)$ of cohomology theories gives an isomorphism for $X = S^0$. Therefore it is an isomorphism for all X. □

By Lemma 3.4, if h is even-periodic and h^0 is Noetherian linearly compact, $h^*(X)$ naturally supports a structure of linearly compact module over h^* for all X.

Let p be a prime number and BP the Brown-Peterson spectrum at p. Let h^* be a graded commutative ring over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. We suppose that there is a p-typical formal group law F'_h of degree (-2) over h^*. Since the associated formal group law F'_{BP} to BP is universal with respect to p-typical ones, there is a unique ring homomorphism $r : BP^* \to h^*$. Then the functor $h^* \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(-)$ is a generalized cohomology theory on the category of finite spectra if $p, v_1, v_2, \ldots$ is a regular sequence in h^* by the Landweber exact functor theorem [8]. We say such a graded commutative ring h^* is Landweber exact over BP^*. For any spetrum X, Lemma 3.4 suggests to define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h^*(X) & = & h^* \widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*} BP^*(X) \\ & = & \varprojlim \left(h^* \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(X_\lambda) \right), \end{array}$$

where the inverse limit is taken over $\Lambda(X)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that h^* is an even-periodic Landweber exact graded commutative ring over BP^*. Furthermore, suppose that h^0 is Noetherian and linearly compact. Then the functor $h^*(-)$ is a complex oriented commutative multiplicative cohomology theory.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $h^*(-)$ takes coproducts to products. The exactness of $h^*(-)$ follows from Lemma 3.3 (cf. [6, Proposition 2.3.16]). Hence $h^*(-)$ is a commutative multiplicative cohomology theory. The natural transformation $BP^*(-) \to h^*(-)$ gives us an orientation of $h^*(-)$. $\square$

Definition 3.6. Let h and k be commutative ring spectra. We denote by $\mathbf{Mult}(h,k)$ the set of all multiplicative natural transformations of cohomology theories from $h^*(-)$ to $k^*(-)$. If $h^*(-)$ and $k^*(-)$ have their values in the category of linearly compact modules, then we denote by $\mathbf{Mult}^c(h,k)$ the subset of $\mathbf{Mult}(h,k)$ consisting of θ such that $\theta : h^*(X) \to k^*(X)$ is continuous for all X.

If $h^*(-)$ is a complex oriented cohomology theory, then the orientation class $X_h \in h^2(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)$ gives a formal group law F'_h of degree 2. Furthermore, if h is even-priodic, then a unit $u \in h^{-2}$ gives a degree 0 formal group law by $F_h(X,Y) = uF'_h(u^{-1}X, u^{-1}Y)$. In the following of this section we suppose that h and k are even-periodic complex orientable commutative ring spectra. Furthermore, we fix a unit $u \in h^{-2}$ (resp. $v \in k^{-2}$) and an orientation class $X_h \in h^2(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)$ (resp. $X_k \in k^2(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)$). Then we obtain a degree 0 formal group law F_h (resp. F_k) associated with h (resp. k) as above. A multiplicative natural transformation $\theta : h^*(-) \to k^*(-)$ gives a ring homomorphism $\alpha : h^0 \to k^0$ and an isomorphism $f : F_k \to \alpha^* F_h$ of formal group laws. Note that $f(X) = \theta(u)\widetilde{f}(v^{-1}X)$, where $\widetilde{f}(X_k) = \theta(X_h)$. In particular, $f'(0) = \theta(u)v^{-1}$ is a unit of k^0. Hence we obtain a map from $\mathbf{Mult}(h,k)$ to $\mathbf{FGL}((F_k,k^0),(F_h,h^0))$:

$$\Xi : \mathbf{Mult}(h,k) \to \mathbf{FGL}((F_k,k^0),(F_h,h^0)).$$

If h^0 and k^0 are Noetherian and linearly compact, then Ξ induces

$$\Xi^c : \mathbf{Mult}^c(h,k) \to \mathbf{FGL}^c((F_k.k^0),(F_h,h^0)).$$

Remark 3.7. Let h^0 and k^0 be Noetherian linearly compact rings. If $\theta \in \mathbf{Mult}(h,k)$ induces a continuous ring homomorphism $h^0 \to k^0$, then $\theta \in \mathbf{Mult}^c(h,k)$.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that h^* and k^* are even-periodic Landweber exact graded commutative rings over BP_*. Then the map $\Xi : \mathbf{Mult}(h,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{FGL}((F_k,k_0),(F_h,h_0))$ is a bijection. Furthermore, if h^0 and k^0 are Noetherian and linearly compact, then $\Xi^c : \mathbf{Mult}^c(h,k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{FGL}^c((F_k,k_0),(F_h,h_0))$ is also a bijection.*

Proof. This follows from the Landweber exact functor theorem and the fact that the graded commutative ring $BP_*(BP)$ represents the set of all triples (F,f,G) where F,G are p-typical formal group laws and f is a strict isomorphism betweent them. $\square$

4 The generalized Chern character Θ

In this section we construct the generalized Chern character Θ, which is a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories from the height $(n+1)$ cohomology theory $E^*_{n+1}(-)$ to the height n cohomology theory $\mathbb{C}^*(-)$, which is a coefficient extension of $E^*_n(-)$.

We recall that $\mathbf{F}$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$ which contains the finite fields $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}$. Also recall that $E^0_n = W[\![w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1}]\!]$ and $E^0_{n+1} = W[\![u_1,\ldots,u_n]\!]$, where W is the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\mathbf{F}$. We define graded commutative rings E^*_n and E^*_{n+1} by $E^*_n = E^0_n[u^{\pm 1}]$ and $E^*_{n+1} = E^0_{n+1}[u^{\pm 1}]$, where $|u| = |w| = -2$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^*_n &= W[\![w_1,\ldots,w_{n-1}]\!][w^{\pm 1}], \\ E^*_{n+1} &= W[\![u_1,\ldots,u_n]\!][u^{\pm 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The p-typical formal group law $\widetilde{F}_n$ over E^0_n gives a ring homomorphism $r_n : BP^* \to E^*_n$, which is given by $r_n(v_i) = w_i w^{(p^i-1)}$ $(1 \le i < n), r_n(v_n) = w^{(p^n-1)}, r_n(v_i) = 0$ $(i > n)$. Also, the p-typical formal group law $\widetilde{F}_{n+1}$ over E^0_{n+1} give a ring homomorphism $r_{n+1} : BP^* \to E^*_{n+1}$, which is given by $r_{n+1}(v_i) = u_i u^{(p^i-1)}$ $(1 \le i \le n), r_{n+1}(v_{n+1}) = u^{(p^{n+1}-1)}, r_{n+1}(v_i) = 0$ $(i > n+1)$. Then we can regard E^*_n and E^*_{n+1} as even-periodic Landweber exact graded commutative rings over BP^* through the ring homomorphisms r_n and r_{n+1}, respectively. Furthermore, since E^0_n and E^0_{n+1} are Noetherian local rings, they are linearly compact. Hence $E^*_n(-) = E^*_n \widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*} BP^*(-)$ and $E^*_{n+1}(-) = E^*_{n+1} \widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*} BP^*(-)$ are complex oriented commutative multiplicative cohomology theories by Lemma 3.5.

Let $A = (W((u_n)))^\wedge_p$ be the p-adic completion of $W((u_n))$, the ring of Laurent series over W. Then A is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer p and residue field $M = \mathbf{F}((u_n))$. In particular, A is a Henselian ring. We recall the following lemma on Henselian rings.

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [14, Proposition I.4.4.])**.** *Let R be a Henselian ring with residue field k. Then the functor $R \mapsto S \otimes_R k$ induces an equivalence between the category of finite étale R-algebras and the category of finite étale k-algebras.*

In [16, §2.3] we have constructed a sequence of finite Galois extensions of $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$:

$$\mathbf{F}((u_n)) = L(-1) \to L(0) \to L(1) \to \cdots, \tag{4.1}$$

where $L(i)$ is obtained by adjoining the coefficients $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_i$ of the isomorphism $\Phi : F_{n+1} \overset{\cong}{\to} H_n$ of formal group laws. We denote by $S_n(i)$ the Galois group for $L(-1) \to L(i)$. Then $S_n(i)$ is a finite group of order $(p-1)p^i$, and $S_n = \varprojlim_i S_n(i)$. We let $S_n^{(i)}$ be the kernel of the canonical surjection $S_n \to S_n(i)$. By definition, $L = \varinjlim_i L(i) = \cup_i L(i)$, and $\mathbf{F}((u_n)) \to L$ is an infinite Galois extension with Galois group S_n. Note that $L(i)$ is stable under the action of $\mathbb{G}$ for all i.

By Lemma 4.1, we obtain a sequence of finite étale A-algebras:

$$A = B(-1) \to B(0) \to B(1) \to \cdots .$$

We denote by $B(\infty)$ the direct limit $\varinjlim_i B(i)$ and B the p-adic completion of $B(\infty)$.

Lemma 4.2. *The ring B is a complete discrete valuation ring of characteristic 0 with uniformizer p and residue field $L = \varinjlim_i L(i)$.*

Proof. Since $L(i)$ is a separable extension over $\mathbf{F}((u_n))$, we can take $a \in L(i)$ such that $L(i) = \mathbf{F}((u_n))(a)$. Let $f(X) \in \mathbf{F}((u_n))[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of a and $\widetilde{f}(X) \in A[X]$ a monic polynomial which is a lift of $f(X)$. Then $B(i) \cong A[X]/(\widetilde{f}(X))$. Then we see that $B(i)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer p and residue field $L(i)$. This implies that $B(\infty)$ is also a discrete valuation ring with uniformizer p and residue field L. Then the lemma follows from the fact that B is the p-adic completion of $B(\infty)$. $\square$

We abbreviate $B[\![w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1}]\!]$ and $B[\![u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}]\!]$ by $B[\![w_i]\!]$ and $B[\![u_i]\!]$, respectively, etc. Then we obtain a sequence of finite étale $A[\![u_i]\!]$-algebras:

$$A[\![u_i]\!] = B(-1)[\![u_i]\!] \to B(0)[\![u_i]\!] \to B(1)[\![u_i]\!] \cdots ,$$

and $B[\![u_i]\!]$ is the I_n-adic completion of $\varinjlim_j B(j)[\![u_i]\!]$, where $I_n = (p, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1})$.

We define an even-periodic graded commutative ring $\mathbb{A}^*$ by

$$\mathbb{A}^* = A[\![u_1, \ldots, u_n]\!][u^{\pm 1}].$$

There is a canonical inclusion $E_{n+1}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^*$, and the ring homomorphism $BP^* \to E_{n+1}^* \to \mathbb{A}^*$ satisfies the Landweber exact condition. Also, the degree 0 subring $\mathbb{A}^0 = A[\![u_i]\!]$ is a complete Noetherian local ring. Hence $\mathbb{A}^*(-) = \mathbb{A}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*} BP^*(-)$ gives a complex oriented commutative multiplicative cohomology theory by Lemma 3.5. We denote by $\mathbb{A}$ the representing commutative rings spectrum of $\mathbb{A}^*(-)$. Then the canonical inclusion $E_{n+1}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^*$ induces a ring spectrum map $E_{n+1} \to \mathbb{A}$.

Lemma 4.3. *$\mathbb{A}$ is equivalent to $L_{K(n)}E_{n+1}$ as a commutative ring spectrum.*

Proof. There is a tower $\{M(I)\}_I$ of generalized Moore spectra of height n such that $L_{K(n)}X \simeq \mathrm{holim}_I\, L_n X \wedge M(I)$ for any spectrum X (cf. [7, Proposition 7.10(e)]). In paricular, $L_{K(n)}E_{n+1} \simeq \mathrm{holim}_I\, L_n E_{n+1} \wedge M(I)$. Then $\pi_* L_{K(n)}E_{n+1} \cong A[\![u_i]\!][u^{\pm 1}]$. Hence we can identify the localization map $E_{n+1} \to L_{K(n)}E_{n+1}$ with the ring spectrum map $E_{n+1} \to \mathbb{A}$. $\square$

We define an even-periodic graded commutative ring $\mathbb{B}^*$ by

$$\mathbb{B}^* = B[\![u_1, \ldots, u_n]\!][u^{\pm 1}].$$

There is a canonical inclusion $\mathbb{A}^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, and the ring homomorphism $BP^* \to \mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{B}^*$ satisfies the Landweber exact condition. Also, the degree 0 subring $\mathbb{B}^0 = B[\![u_i]\!]$ is a complete Noetherian local ring. Hence $\mathbb{B}^*(-) = \mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*}BP^*(-)$ gives a complex oriented commutative multiplicative cohomology theory by Lemma 3.5. We denote by $\mathbb{B}$ the representing commutative rings spectrum of $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$. Then the following lemma is clear from the construction.

Lemma 4.4. *There is a natural isomorphism* $\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X) = \varprojlim_{\lambda} \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} \mathbb{A}^*(X_\lambda)$ *for all spectra* X*,where the inverse limit is taken over* $\Lambda(X)$.

We define an even-periodic graded commutative ring $\mathbb{C}^*$ by

$$\mathbb{C}^* = B[\![w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}]\!][w^{\pm 1}].$$

There is a canonical inclusion $E_n^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, and the ring homomorphism $BP^* \to E_n^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies the Landweber exact condition. Also, the degree 0 subring $\mathbb{C}^0 = B[\![w_i]\!]$ is a complete Noetherian local ring. Hence $\mathbb{C}^*(-) = \mathbb{C}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{BP^*}BP^*(-)$ gives a complex oriented commutative multiplicative cohomology theory by Lemma 3.5. Then the following lemma is clear from the construction.

Lemma 4.5. *There is a natural isomorphism* $\mathbb{C}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*}E_n^*(X) = \varprojlim_{\lambda} \mathbb{C}^* \otimes_{E_n^*} E_n^*(X_\lambda)$ *for all spectra* X*, where the inverse limit is taken over* $\Lambda(X)$.

The ring homomorphism $E_{n+1}^* \to \mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{B}^*$ give a formal group law $\widetilde{F}_{n+1}$ over $\mathbb{B}^0 = B[\![u_i]\!]$, and the ring homomorphism $E_n^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ gives a formal group law $\widetilde{F_n}$ over $\mathbb{C}^0 = B[\![w_i]\!]$.

Lemma 4.6. *The formal group laws* $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!])$ *and* $(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$ *are universal deformations of* (F_{n+1}, L) *and* (H_n, L)*,respectively, on the category of complete Noetherian local* B*-algebras.*

Proof. From the fact that $(\widetilde{F}_n, E_n^0)$ is a universal deformation of $(H_n, \mathbf{F})$, it is easy to see that $(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$ is a universal deformation of (H_n, L). From the form of the p-series of $\widetilde{F}_{n+1}$ given by (2.1), we see that $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!])$ is a universal deformation of (F_{n+1}, L). □

Corollary 4.7. *The action of* G_{n+1} *on* $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, E_{n+1}^0)$ *extends to an action on* $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!])$ *such that the induced action on* (F_{n+1}, L) *coincides with the action of Theorem 2.4.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the action of G_{n+1} on E_{n+1}^0 extends to an action on $B[\![u_i]\!]$. For $g \in G_{n+1}$, u_n^g is a unit multiple of u_n modulo $(p, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n^2)$. Hence the ring homomorphism $E_{n+1}^0 \xrightarrow{g} E_{n+1}^0 \to (E_{n+1}^0[u_n^{-1}])^\wedge_{I_n} = A[\![u_i]\!]$ extends to a ring homomorphism $E_{n+1}[u_n^{-1}] \to A[\![u_i]\!]$ This induces a ring homomorphism $A[\![u_i]\!] \to A[\![u_i]\!]$ and defines an action of G_{n+1} on $A[\![u_i]\!]$. Since $B(j)[\![u_i]\!] \to B(j+1)[\![u_i]\!]$ is étale for $j \geq -1$ and $L(j)$ is stable under the action of G_{n+1} on L, the action on $A[\![u_i]\!]$ extends to $B(j)[\![u_i]\!]$ uniquely and compatibly by Lemma 4.1. Hence we obtain an action on $\varinjlim_{j} B(j)[\![u_i]\!]$ and its I_n-adic completion $B[\![u_i]\!]$. □

We denote the action of G_{n+1} on $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!])$ by $\Upsilon(g) = (t(g), \upsilon(g)) : (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \to (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!])$ for $g \in G_{n+1}$.

Corollary 4.8. *The* $(n+1)$*th extended Morava stabilizer group* G_{n+1} *acts on the cohomology theory* $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$ *as multiplicative cohomology operations.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.8. ☐

There are isomorphisms $S_n \cong \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbf{F}((u_n)))$ and $G_n \cong \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{F}_p((u_n)))$ through the action of G_n on L (cf. [2, 16]).

Lemma 4.9. *The action of G_n on L lifts to the action on B.*

Proof. Since $L(i)$ is stable under the action of G_n on L for all $i \geq -1$, the action of G_n on $L(i)$ lifts to the action on $B(i)$ compatibly by Lemma 4.1. This induces an action on $B(\infty)$. Since B is the p-adic completion of $B(\infty)$, we obtain an action on B which is a lift of the action on L. ☐

We denote this action of G_n on B by $\tau(g) : B \to B$ for $g \in G_n$. Since the actions of G_n on E_n^0 and B are compatible on W, the diagonal action defines an action of G_n on $B[\![w_i]\!] = B\widehat{\otimes}_W E_n^0$. Then we obtain an extension of the action of G_n on $(\widetilde{F}_n, E_n^0)$ to $(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$. We denote this action of G_n on $(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$ by $\Omega(g) = (s(g), \omega(g)) : (\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!]) \to (\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$ for $g \in G_n$.

Corollary 4.10. *The nth extended Morava stabilizer group G_n acts on $\mathbb{C}^*(-)$ as multiplicative cohomology operations.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.8. ☐

Lemma 4.11. *There is a unique isomorphism $(\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\varphi}) : (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$ such that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is a continuous B-algebra homomorphism and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ induces Φ on the residue fields.*

Proof. Since there is an isomorphism $(\Phi, id_L) : (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, L) \to (H_n, L)$, the lemma follows from Lemma 2.1. ☐

Lemma 4.12. *For $g \in G_n$, there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \arrow[r, "{(X,\theta(g))}"] \arrow[d, "{(\widetilde{\Phi},\widetilde{\varphi})}"'] & (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \arrow[d, "{(\widetilde{\Phi},\widetilde{\varphi})}"] \\
(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!]) \arrow[r, "\Omega(g)"] & (\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!]),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\theta(g) : B[\![u_i]\!] \to B[\![u_i]\!]$ is given by $\theta(g)(b) = \tau(g)(b)$ for $b \in B$ and $\theta(g)(u_i) = u_i$ for $1 \leq u_i < n$.

Proof. Note that $(\theta(g) \circ \widetilde{\varphi})|_B = \tau(g) = (\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \omega(g))|_B$. The diagram induced on the residue field is commutative by definition of the action of G_n on $(F_{n+1}, L) \cong (H_n, L)$. Then the lemma follows from the universality of $(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!])$. ☐

Lemma 4.13. *For $g \in G_{n+1}$, there is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \arrow[r, "\Upsilon(g)"] \arrow[d, "{(\widetilde{\Phi},\widetilde{\varphi})}"'] & (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B[\![u_i]\!]) \arrow[d, "{(\widetilde{\Phi},\widetilde{\varphi})}"] \\
(\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!]) \arrow[r, "{(X,\mu(g))}"] & (\widetilde{F}_n, B[\![w_i]\!]),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mu(g)$ is given by $\mu(g)(b) = \widetilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\upsilon(g)(b))$ for $b \in B$ and $\mu(g)(w_i) = w_i$ for $1 \leq i < n$.

Proof. Note that $(\upsilon(g) \circ \widetilde{\varphi})|_B = \upsilon(g)|_B = (\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \mu(g))|_B$. The diagram induced on the residue field is commutative by definition of the action of G_{n+1} on $(F_{n+1}, L) \cong (H_n, L)$. Then the lemma follows from the universality of $(\widetilde{F}_n, B\llbracket w_i \rrbracket)$. ☐

Corollary 4.14. *The profinite group* $\mathbb{G}$ *acts on* $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket) \cong (\widetilde{F}_n, B\llbracket w_i \rrbracket)$ *such that the action of the subgroup* G_{n+1} *coincides with* Υ*, and the action of the subgroup* G_n *coincides with* Ω*.*

Proof. We have the action Υ of G_{n+1} on $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket)$ and the action Ω of G_n on $(\widetilde{F}_n, B\llbracket w_i \rrbracket)$. The action of the subgroup Γ of G_{n+1} on $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket)$ coincides with the action on $(\widetilde{F}_n, B\llbracket w_i \rrbracket)$ as the subgroup of G_n under the isomorphism $(\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\varphi})$. Hence it is sufficient to show that the following diagram commutes for $g \in S_{n+1}$ and $h \in S_n$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket) \arrow[r, "{(X,\theta(h))}"] \arrow[d, "\Upsilon(g)"'] & (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket) \arrow[d, "\Upsilon(g)"] \\
(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket) \arrow[r, "{(X,\theta(h))}"] & (\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the induced diagram on the residue field L commutes.

Since $u_n^g \in E_{n+1}^0 \subset B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket$, $(\theta(h) \circ \upsilon(g))(u_n) = u_n^g = (\upsilon(g) \circ \theta(h))(u_n)$. Hence $(\theta(h) \circ \upsilon(g))|_A = (\upsilon(g) \circ \theta(h))|_A$. From the fact that $B(i)$ is an étale A-algebra, $B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket$ is complete, and the induced homomorphisms on the residue field coincide, we see that $(\theta(h) \circ \upsilon(g))|_{B(i)} = (\upsilon(g) \circ \theta(h))|_{B(i)}$ for all i. Hence $(\theta(h) \circ \upsilon(g))|_{B(\infty)} = (\upsilon(g) \circ \theta(h))|_{B(\infty)}$ and $(\theta(h) \circ \upsilon(g))|_B = (\upsilon(g) \circ \theta(h))|_B$. Then the corollary follows from the universality of $(\widetilde{F}_{n+1}, B\llbracket u_i \rrbracket)$. ☐

Theorem 4.15. *The profinite group* $\mathbb{G}$ *acts on the multiplicative cohomology theories* $\mathbb{C}^*(-)$ *and* $\mathbb{B}^*(-)$*. There is an natural isomorphism of multiplicative cohomology theories*

$$\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{C}^*(X),$$

with $\mathbb{G}$*-action for all spectra* X*.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 4.14. ☐

There is a ring spectrum map $E_{n+1} \to L_{K(n)}E_{n+1} = \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$. By Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 4.15, this induces a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories

$$\Theta : E_{n+1}^*(-) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^*(-) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} E_n^*(-). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that Θ is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}$ when we consider that $\mathbb{G}$ acts on the left hand side through the projection $\mathbb{G} \to G_{n+1}$. We say that Θ is a generalized Chern character since it is a multiplicative natural transformation from the height $(n+1)$ chomology theory $E_{n+1}^*(-)$ to the height n cohomology theory $\mathbb{B}^*(-) \cong \mathbb{C}^*(-)$, which is a coefficient extension of $E_n^*(-)$. Furthermore, the inclusion $E_n^* \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ induces a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories

$$I : E_n^*(-) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} E_n^*(-) \cong \mathbb{B}^*(-).$$

Then I is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathbb{G}$ when we consider that $\mathbb{G}$ acts on the left hand side through the projection $\mathbb{G} \to G_n$.

5 The cohomology group $H_c^*(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X))$

In this section we show that the continuous cohomology of the nth Morava stabilizer group S_n with coefficients in the cohomology group $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ for every spectrum X.

In this section we give the graded commutative rings $\mathbb{A}^*$ and $\mathbb{B}^*$ the I_n-adic topology. In particular, the degree 0 residue fields K and L are discrete. Let $h = \mathbb{A}$ or $\mathbb{B}$. Since h^0 is a complete Noetherian local ring, it is linealy compact. By Lemma 3.4, $h^*(X) \cong \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\ h^*(X_\lambda)$, where the inverse limit on the right hand side is taken over $\Lambda(X)$. Let $\{F^\delta h^*(X)\}_{\delta\in\Delta(X)}$ be a family of h^*-submodules of $h^*(X)$ consisting of the kernels of $h^*(X) \to h^*(Z)$, which is induced by some map from a finite spectrum Z to X. Then $\Delta(X)$ is a directed set by the reverse order of inclusions. For any $(X_\lambda \to X) \in \Lambda(X)$, there is a unique $\delta \in \Delta(X)$ such that $F^\delta h^*(X)$ is the kernel of $h^*(X) \to h^*(X_\lambda)$. This defines a functor $\Lambda(X) \to \Delta(X)$; $\lambda \mapsto \delta(\lambda)$, which is final (cf. [11, IX.3]). Hence we see that $h^*(X) \cong \underset{\longleftarrow\delta}{\lim}\ h^*(X)/F^\delta h^*(X)$. In this section we regard $h^*(X)$ as a filtered module by the filtration

$$\{F^\delta h^*(X) + I_n^r h^*(X)\}_{(\delta,r)\in\Delta(X)\times\mathbf{N}_0}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathbf{N}_0$ is the set of non-negative integers. Note that $h^*(X)/(F^\delta h^*(X) + I_n^r h^*(X))$ is a finitely generated Artinian h^*-module. Then $h^*(X)/F^\delta h^*(X)$ is isomorphic to $\underset{\longleftarrow r}{\lim}\ h^*(X)/(F^\delta h^*(X) + I_n^r h^*(X))$. This implies that $h^*(X)$ is isomorphic to $\underset{\longleftarrow\delta}{\lim}\,\underset{\longleftarrow r}{\lim}\ h^*(X)/(F^\delta h^*(X)+I_n^r h^*(X))$. Hence the filtration (5.1) of $h^*(X)$ is complete Hausdorff.

Let $\mathbb{B}(j)^0 = B(j)[\![u_i]\!]$ for $j \geq -1$. By Lemma 4.1, the sequence of Galois extensions (4.1) induces a sequence of finite Galois extensions of commutative rings:

$$\mathbb{A}^0 = \mathbb{B}(-1)^0 \to \mathbb{B}(0)^0 \to \mathbb{B}(1)^0 \to \cdots,$$

and the Galois group for the extension $\mathbb{A}^0 \to \mathbb{B}(i)^0$ is $S_n(i)$. Let $\mathbb{B}(\infty)^0$ be the direct limit of the sequence: $\mathbb{B}(\infty)^0 = \underset{\longrightarrow i}{\lim}\ \mathbb{B}(i)^0 = \cup_i \mathbb{B}(i)^0$. Then $\mathbb{B}^0$ is isomorphic to be the I_n-adic completion of $\mathbb{B}(\infty)^0$:

$$\mathbb{B}^0 \cong (\mathbb{B}(\infty)^0)^\wedge_{I_n}.$$

Recall that an $\mathbb{A}^*$-module M is pro-free if M is the I_n-adic completion for some free module. Let $S = \{s = (s_0, s_1, \ldots)\}$ be a set of multi-indexes such that $0 \leq s_0 < p^n, 0 \leq s_i \leq p^n$ $(i \geq 1)$. For $s \in S$, we set

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(s) = \widetilde{\Phi}_0^{s_0}\widetilde{\Phi}_1^{s_1}\cdots.$$

Then $\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*$ is a free $\mathbb{A}^*$-module with basis $\{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)\}_{s\in S}$. Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *$\mathbb{B}^*$ is pro-free over $\mathbb{A}^*$ with topological basis $\{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)\}_{s\in S}$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let Λ be an essentially small filtered category, and let $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a Λ-diagram of finitely generated $\mathbb{A}^*$-modules such that $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\ M_\lambda = 0$. Then $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\ (\mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} M_\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{B}^*$-module, $\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda \cong \mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda \cong \mathbb{B}(\infty)^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda$. Then there is an injection

$$\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda \cong \left(\bigoplus_S M_\lambda\{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)\}\right)^{\wedge}_{I_n} \longrightarrow \prod_S M_\lambda.$$

This induces an injection

$$\varprojlim_{\lambda}\,(\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{\lambda}\left(\prod_S M_\lambda\right) \cong \prod_S\left(\varprojlim_{\lambda} M_\lambda\right) = 0.$$

Hence $\varprojlim_{\lambda}\,(\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda) = 0$. ☐

Lemma 5.3. *$\mathbb{B}^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}^* \cong \mathbb{B}(\infty)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*$ for every r.*

Proof. See the proof of [7, Theorem A.1]. ☐

Proposition 5.4. *There is a natural isomoprhism $\mathbb{B}^*(X)\cong\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ for every spectrum X.*

Proof. If X is finite, then $\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{B}^*$. Hence $\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X)\cong\mathbb{B}^*(X)$. For general X, by definition,

$$\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X) \cong \varprojlim_{\delta,r}\,(\mathbb{B}^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^\delta\mathbb{A}^*(X)).$$

Since $\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^\delta\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{A}^*$, $\varprojlim_{r}\,(\mathbb{B}^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^\delta\mathbb{A}^*(X))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^\delta\mathbb{A}^*(X))$. By the fact that $\Lambda(X)\to\Delta(X)$ is a final functor,

$$\varprojlim_{\delta}\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^\delta\mathbb{A}^*(X)) \cong \varprojlim_{\lambda}\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^{\delta(\lambda)}\mathbb{A}^*(X)).$$

Let M_λ be the cokernel of the injection $\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^{\delta(\lambda)}\mathbb{A}^*(X)\to\mathbb{A}^*(X_\lambda)$. Then $\varprojlim M_\lambda = 0$ since $\varprojlim \mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^{\delta(\lambda)}\mathbb{A}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim \mathbb{A}^*(X_\lambda)$. By Lemma 5.2, $\varprojlim \mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}M_\lambda = 0$. Since $\mathbb{A}^*\to\mathbb{B}^*$ is flat by [12, Lemma 23.1], this implies that $\varprojlim_{\lambda}\mathbb{B}^*\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}(\mathbb{A}^*(X)/F^{\delta(\lambda)}\mathbb{A}^*(X))\cong\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ ☐

Let N be a $\mathbb{B}^*$-module with filtration $\{F^\delta N\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$, where Δ is a directed set given by the reverse order of inclusion. For each δ we suppose that $N/F^\delta N$ is finitely generated and $I_n^r N/F^\delta N = 0$ for some r. Furthermore, we suppose that the filtration is complete Hausdorff. Let $\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N)$ be the set of all continuous maps from S_n to the filtered module N. Then $\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N)$ is a $\mathbb{B}^*$-module by using the $\mathbb{B}^*$-module structure on N. Note that there is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{B}^*$-modules

$$0\to\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,F^\delta N)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N)\longrightarrow\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N/F^\delta N)\to 0$$

for any $\delta\in\Delta$. We define a filtration on $\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N)$ by $\{\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,F^\delta N)\}_{\delta\in\Delta}$. Since there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N)\xrightarrow{\cong}\varprojlim_{\delta}\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n,N/F^\delta N)$, this filtration is complete Hausdorff. For $n\otimes b\in N\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^*$, the map $g\mapsto n\cdot g(b)$ defines a map $N\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^*\to\mathrm{Map}(S_n,N)$. By Lemma 5.3,

$b \bmod I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*$ for some i. Then $g(b) \equiv b \bmod I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*$ for $g \in S_n^{(i)}$. This shows that the map $N \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} \mathbb{B}^* \to \mathrm{Map}(S_n, N)$ factors through $\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, N)$. Then this map extends to a map

$$N\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, N).$$

Note that the map is a $\mathbb{B}^*$-module homomorphism if we regard the left hand side as a $\mathbb{B}^*$-module by using the $\mathbb{B}^*$-module structure on N.

Proposition 5.5. $N\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, N)$.

Proof. If $N = \mathbb{B}^*$ with filtration $\{I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*\}_{r\geq 0}$, then by Lemma 5.3

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* &\cong \varprojlim_r (\mathbb{B}^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{B}^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}^*) \\
&\cong \varprojlim_r (\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*) \\
&\cong \varprojlim_r \varinjlim_i (\mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{B}(i)^*$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $S_n(i)$ there is an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*) \cong \mathrm{Map}(S_n(i), \mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*),$$

which is given by $x \otimes y \mapsto (g \mapsto x \cdot g(y))$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* &\cong \varprojlim_r \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map}(S_n(i), \mathbb{B}(i)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(i)^*) \\
&\cong \varprojlim_r \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, \mathbb{B}(\infty)^*/I_n^r\mathbb{B}(\infty)^*) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, \mathbb{B}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

For N general, we have a sequence of isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
N\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* &\cong N\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{B}^*}\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^* \\
&\cong N\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{B}^*}\mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, \mathbb{B}^*) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n, N).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ☐

We set $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes p} = \overbrace{\mathbb{B}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\cdots\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{B}^*}^{p}$ and $S_n^p = \overbrace{S_n \times \cdots \times S_n}^{p}$. We define a continuous $\mathbb{B}^*$-algebra map $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)} \to \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n^p, \mathbb{B}^*)$ by

$$(b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_p) \mapsto ((g_1, \ldots, g_p) \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^{p} g_1 \cdots g_i(b_i)),$$

where the $\mathbb{B}^*$-algebra structure on $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)}$ comes from the first left factor. By applying Proposition 5.5 repeatedly, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.6. $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n^p, \mathbb{B}^*)$ *is an isomorphism.*

Corollary 5.7. *There is an isomorphism* $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes p}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}N \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n^p, N)$*, which is given by*

$$b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{p-1} \otimes n \mapsto \left((g_1, \ldots, g_p) \mapsto \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} g_1 \cdots g_i(b_i) \right) \cdot g_1 \cdots g_p(n) \right).$$

For a topological module M with continuous S_n-action, we denote by $C_c^p(S_n; M)$ the set of all continuous maps from S_n^p to M:

$$C_c^p(S_n; M) = \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n^p, M).$$

Then we define a differential $d^p : C_c^p(S_n; M) \longrightarrow C_c^{p+1}(S_n; M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d^p(\varphi)(g_1, \ldots, g_{p+1}) \quad = \quad & g_1 \cdot \varphi(g_2, \ldots, g_{p+1}) \\ & + \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i \varphi(g_1, \ldots, g_i g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{p+1}) \\ & + (-1)^{p+1} \varphi(g_1, \ldots, g_p). \end{aligned}$$

Then $C_c^*(S_n; M) = \{C_c^p(S_n; M), d^p\}_{p \geq 0}$ forms a cochain complex and the continuous cohomology of S_n with coefficients in M is defined to be the cohomology of $C_c^*(S_n; M)$:

$$H_c^p(S_n; M) := H^p(C_c^*(S_n; M)).$$

Since the Morava stabilizer group S_n acts on $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ as cohomology operations, $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ is a complete Hausdorff filtered $\mathbb{B}^*$-module with compatible continuous S_n-action.

Proposition 5.8. *There is a natural isomorphism of cochain complexes:*

$$C_c^*(S_n; \mathbb{B}^*(X)) \cong C_c^*(S_n, \mathbb{B}^*) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*} \mathbb{A}^*(X)$$

for every spectrum X*.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*} \mathbb{A}^*(X)$. This isomorphism is actually an isomorphism of S_n-modules, where the S_n-action on $\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ is trivial. Then the proposition follows from Corollary 5.6 and Corollary 5.7. ☐

By taking the coefficient of $1 = \widetilde{\Phi}_0^0 \widetilde{\Phi}_1^0 \cdots$ with respect to the topological basis $\{\widetilde{\Phi}(s)\}_{s \in S}$, there is a continuous $\mathbb{A}^*$-module homomorphism $\varepsilon : \mathbb{B}^* \to \mathbb{A}^*$, which gives a splitting of the unit $\eta : \mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{B}^*$. By Corollary 5.6, $C_c^p(S_n; \mathbb{B}^*) = \mathrm{Map}_c(S_n^p, \mathbb{B}^*) \cong \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)}$. Then d^p corresponds to the map $d^p : \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)} \to \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+2)}$ given by

$$d^p(b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_p) = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i b_0 \otimes \cdots b_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes b_i \otimes \cdots b_p.$$

Define a continuous $\mathbb{A}^*$-module map $s^p : \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+2)} \to \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)}$ by

$$s^p(b_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{p+1}) = \varepsilon(b_0) \cdot b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{p+1},$$

where $\varepsilon : \mathbb{B}^* \to \mathbb{A}^*$ is the splitting of the inclusion $\eta : \mathbb{A}^* \to \mathbb{B}^*$.

Lemma 5.9. *The sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{A}^* \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{B}^* \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathbb{B}^{*\otimes 3} \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{5.2}$$

is a split exact sequence of complete Hausdorff filtered $\mathbb{A}^*$-modules.

Proof. It is easy to check that $s^p d^p + d^{p-1}s^{p-1}$ is the identity map of $\mathbb{B}^{*\otimes(p+1)}$ for $p > 0$. Furthermore, $s^0 d^0 + \eta\varepsilon = id_{\mathbb{B}^*}$ and $\varepsilon\eta = id_{\mathbb{A}^*}$. These show that (5.2) is a split exact sequence. ☐

By applying the functor $(-)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{A}^*}\mathbb{A}^*(X)$ to the split exact sequence (5.2) and by using Proposition 5.8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.10. *For every spectrum X, the sequence*

$$0 \to \mathbb{A}^*(X) \xrightarrow{\eta} C_c^0(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X)) \xrightarrow{d^0} C_c^1(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X)) \xrightarrow{d^1} C_c^2(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X)) \xrightarrow{d^2} \cdots$$

is a split exact sequence of complete Hausdorff filtered $\mathbb{A}^*$-modules.

Hence we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.11. *For every spectrum X, $H_c^i(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X)) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and there is a natural isomorphism of G_{n+1}-modules*

$$H_c^0(S_n;\mathbb{B}^*(X)) \cong \mathbb{A}^*(X).$$

6 Comparison of $\mathbb{B}^*(X)$ and $E_n^*(X)$

By Lemma 4.13, there is a natural injective map of G_n-modules $E_n^*(X) \longrightarrow H^0(S_{n+1};\mathbb{B}^*(X))$. In this section we prove the folloing theorem.

Theorem 6.1 (Theorem A). *For every spectrum X, there is a natural isomorphism of E_n^*-modules with compatible continuous G_n-action*

$$E_n^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(S_{n+1};\mathbb{B}^*(X)).$$

For a finite spectrum X, $E_n^*(X)$ with G_n-action can be recovered from $E_{n+1}^*(X)$ with G_{n+1}-action.

Let S be a complete Noetherian local ring, and R a subring which is also a complete Noetherian local ring. Suppose that the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow S$ is a flat local homomorphism, and the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_S$ of S is generated by the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_R$ of R: $\mathfrak{m}_R S = \mathfrak{m}_S$. In this case S is faithfully flat over R by [12, Theorem 7.2]. Hence $R/\mathfrak{m}_R^i \to S/\mathfrak{m}_R^i S = S/\mathfrak{m}_S^i$ is also faithfully flat by base change, and in particular injective. Let G be a group acting on S as ring automorphisms. Suppose that R is contained in the fixed subring $S^G = H^0(G;S)$.

Lemma 6.2. *If $R/\mathfrak{m}_R = (S/\mathfrak{m}_S)^G$, then $R = S^G$.*

Proof. Since $H^0(G;S) \cong \varprojlim H^0(G;S/\mathfrak{m}_S^i)$, it is sufficient to show that $R/\mathfrak{m}_R^i = (S/\mathfrak{m}_S^i)^G$ for all i. We prove this by induction on i. Assume that the $i-1$ case is true. There is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{m}_R^{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}_R^i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R/\mathfrak{m}_R^i \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R/\mathfrak{m}_R^{i-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (\mathfrak{m}_S^{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}_S^i)^G \arrow[r] & (S/\mathfrak{m}_S^i)^G \arrow[r] & (S/\mathfrak{m}_S^{i-1})^G. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that the middle vertical map is injective, and the right vertical map is an isomorphism by the hypothesis of induction. Since $\mathfrak{m}_S = \mathfrak{m}_R S$, the $S/\mathfrak{m}_S$-module $\mathfrak{m}_S^{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}_S^i$ is generated by the $(i-1)$ products of generators of $\mathfrak{m}_R$. Hence we can take a basis of $\mathfrak{m}_S^{i-1}/\mathfrak{m}_S^i$ consisting of elments in the image of the left vertical map. Then $(S/\mathfrak{m}_S)^G = R/\mathfrak{m}_R$ implies that the left vertical map is surjctive. Hence we see that the middle vertical is an isomorphism. ☐

Lemma 6.3. $H^0(S_{n+1}; L[u^{\pm 1}]) = \mathbf{F}[w^{\pm 1}]$.

Proof. Let $M_n^1 = v_{n+1}^{-1}BP_*/(p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n^\infty)$. By [13, Theorem 5.10], $\mathrm{Ext}^0_{BP_*(BP)}(BP_*, M_n^1)$ is the direct sum of the finite torsion submodules and the $K(n)_*/k(n)_*$ generated by $1/v_n^j$, $j \geq 1$ as a $k(n)_*$-module. Then as in [16, §5.3] $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbf{F}[\![u_n]\!][u^{\pm 1}]) = \mathbf{F}[v_n]$. By [16, Lemma 5.9], $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbf{F}((u_n))[u^{\pm 1}])$ is the localization of $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbf{F}[\![u_n]\!][u^{\pm 1}])$ by inverting the invariant element v_n. Hence $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbf{F}((u_n))[u^{\pm 1}]) = \mathbf{F}[v_n^{\pm 1}]$. By [16, Lemma 3.7], $w = \Phi_0^{-1}u \in L$ is invariant under the action of S_{n+1}. Let a be a degree $2n$ invariant element in $L[u^{\pm 1}]$. Then $b = aw^n \in L$ is also invariant. Let $\phi(X) \in \mathbf{F}((u_n))[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of b. Then $\phi(b) = 0$. Since b is invariant under the action of S_{n+1}, $\phi^g(b) = 0$ for all $g \in S_{n+1}$. Hence $\phi^g(X)$ is also the minimal polynomial of b. This implies that $\phi(X)$ is a polynomial over $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbf{F}((u_n))) = \mathbf{F}$. Hence $b \in \overline{\mathbf{F}} \cap L = \mathbf{F}$. This completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 6.4. *For* $0 \leq i \leq n$, $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^*/I_i) \cong E_n^*/I_i$.

Proof. Since $E_n^* \to \mathbb{B}^*$ is a flat local homomorphism, $E_n^*/I_i \to \mathbb{B}^*/I_i$ is faithfully flat. In particular it is injective. By Lemma 4.13, $E_n^* \subset H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^*)$. This implies that $E_n^*/I_i \subset H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^*/I_i)$. Then the corollary follows from Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3. ☐

Let M be a finitely generated E_n^*-module. Note that $\mathbb{B}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} M = \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_n^*} M$. The action of S_{n+1} on $\mathbb{B}^*$ and the trivial action on M defines an action of S_{n+1} on $\mathbb{B}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} M$.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that* M *has a finite filtration* $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_s = 0$ *such that each quotient* M_i/M_{i+1} *is isomorphic to (suspention of)* E_n^*/I_k *for some* $0 \leq k \leq n$. *Then* $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^* \widehat{\otimes}_{E_n^*} M) = M$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on the length of filtration. Assume that it is true if the length of filtration is less than s. Suppose that M has length s. Then there is a exact sequence of E_n^*-modules: $0 \to N \to M \to M/N \to 0$, where N has length $s-1$ and M/N is isomorphic to E_n^*/I_k. This induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M/N \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{B}^* \otimes N)^{S_{n+1}} \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{B}^* \otimes M)^{S_{n+1}} \arrow[r] & (\mathbb{B}^* \otimes M/N)^{S_{n+1}}. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The right vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.4, and the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism by the hypothesis of induction. Hence the middle vertical arrow is also an isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *For any finite spectrum X, $E_n^*(X) \overset{\cong}{\to} H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^*(X))$.*

Proof. By Landweber filtration theorem, there is a finite filtration of BP^*-modules: $BP^*(X) = F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \cdots \supset F_s = 0$ such that each quotient F_i/F_{i+1} is isomorphic to (supsension of) BP^*/I_k for some k. Since $E_n^*(X) = E_n^* \otimes_{BP^*} BP^*(X)$, there is a filtration $E_n^*(X) = F_0' \supset F_1' \supset \cdots \supset F_t' = 0$ such that $F_i'/F_{i+1}' = E_n^*/I_k$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then the corollary follows from Lemma 6.5. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Since E_n^* and $\mathbb{B}^*$ are complete Noetherian local rings, $E_n^*(X) \cong \underset{\longleftarrow}{\mathrm{lm}}\, E_n^*(X_\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\, \mathbb{B}^*(X_\lambda)$. Then Theorem 6.1 follows from Corollary 6.6 and the fact that $\mathbb{B}^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} E_{n+1}^*(X)$ for a finite spectrum X. $\square$

Remark 6.7. Let p be an odd prime number. By the same method as above, we can show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$(E_n/I_i)^*(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(S_{n+1}; (\mathbb{B}/I_i)^*(X))$$

of G_n-modules for all spectra X and $0 \leq i \leq n$.

For $m = n$ or $n+1$, we define a pro-obeject $\mathbb{E}_m^*(X)$ of finitely generated E_m^*-modules with compatible continuous G_m-action to be the system

$$\mathbb{E}_m^*(X) = \{E_m^*(X_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda(X)}.$$

Note that there is a natural isomorphism $E_m^*(X) \overset{\cong}{\to} \underset{\longleftarrow}{\lim}\, \mathbb{E}_m^*(X)$. For a finite spectrum X_λ, $\mathbb{B}^*(X_\lambda) \cong \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} E_{n+1}^*(X_\lambda)$. By Theorem 6.1, the fintiely generated E_n^*-module $E_n^*(X_\lambda)$ with compatible continuous G_n-action is isomorphic to $H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} E_{n+1}^*(X_\lambda))$. Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6.8. *For any spectrum X, the pro-object $\mathbb{E}_n^*(X)$ is isomorphic to the pro-object*

$$H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} \mathbb{E}_{n+1}^*(X)) = \{H^0(S_{n+1}; \mathbb{B}^* \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} E_{n+1}^*(X_\lambda))\}.$$

Corollary 6.8 means that the pro-object $\mathbb{E}_n^*(X)$ can be recovered from the pro-object $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}^*(X)$.

Remark 6.9. The cohomology group $E_n^*(X)$ can not be obtained from $E_{n+1}^*(X)$ in general, since the cohomological Bousfield class $\langle E_n^* \rangle$ is $\langle K(n) \rangle$ (cf. [5]). For example, $E_n^*(E_n) \neq 0$ but $E_{n+1}^*(E_n) = 0$.

References

[1] M. Ando, J. Morava and H. Sadofsky, Completions of $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$-Tate cohomology of periodic spectra, Geom. Topol. 2 (1998), 145–174.

[2] B.H. Gross, Ramification in p-adic Lie extensions, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. III, pp. 81–102, Astérisque, 65, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979.

[3] M. Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 78. Academic Press, Inc., 1978.

[4] M. Hovey, Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture, The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993), 225–250, Contemp. Math., 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[5] M. Hovey, Cohomological Bousfield classes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **103**(1995), no. 1, 45–59.

[6] M. Hovey, J.H. Palmieri and N.P. Strickland, Axiomatic stable homotopy theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **128**(1997), no. 610.

[7] M. Hovey and N.P. Strickland, Morava K-theories and localisation, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **139**(1999), no. 666.

[8] P.S. Landweber, Homological properties of comodules over $M\mathrm{U}_*(M\mathrm{U})$ and $\mathrm{BP}_*(\mathrm{BP})$, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 3, 591–610.

[9] M. Lazard, Sur les groupes de Lie formels à un paramètre, Bull. Soc. Math. France **83** (1955), 251–274.

[10] J. Lubin and J. Tate, Formal moduli for one-parameter formal Lie groups, Bull. Soc. Math. France **94** 1966 49–59.

[11] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematician, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **5**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[12] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[13] H.R. Miller, D.C. Ravenel and W.S. Wilson, Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence, Ann. Math. (2) **106** (1977), no. 3, 469–516.

[14] J.S. Milne, Étale cohomology, Princeton Mathematical Series, 33. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.

[15] D.C. Ravenel, Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory, Appendix C by Jeff Smith. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 128. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992.

[16] T. Torii, On degeneration of formal group laws and application to stable homotopy theory, Amer. J. Math. **125**(2003), 1037–1077.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University,
Okayama 700–8530, Japan
E-mail: torii@math.okayama-u.ac.jp